Simple Model of the Transduction of Cell-Penetrating Peptides

Kevin Cahill
cahill@unm.edu
Biophysics Group, Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

September 21, 2010

arXiv:1009.3607v1 [q-bio.BM] 19 Sep 2010

ABSTRACT Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) such as HIV's trans-activating transcriptional activator (TAT) and polyarginine rapidly pass through the plasma membranes of mammalian cells by an unknown mechanism called transduction. They may be medically useful when fused to well-chosen chains of fewer than about 35 amino acids. I offer a simple model of transduction in which phosphatidylserines and CPPs effectively form two plates of a capacitor with a voltage sufficient to cause the formation of transient pores (electroporation). The model is consistent with experimental data on the transduction of oligoarginine into mouse C_2C_{12} myoblasts and makes three testable predictions.

I. CELL-PENETRATING PEPTIDES

Polyarginine, TAT [1, 2], Penetratin, and other short, positively charged peptides can penetrate the plasma membranes of live cells and can tow along with them cargos that greatly exceed the 600 Da restriction barrier. They are promising therapeutic tools when fused to well-chosen sequences of fewer than about 35 amino acids [3–15].

TAT carries cargos across cell membranes with high efficiency by at least two functionally distinct mechanisms according to whether the cargo is big or small [16]. Big cargos, such as proteins or quantum dots, enter via caveolae endocytosis and macropinocytosis [17, 18], and relatively few escape the cytoplasmic vesicles in which they then are trapped [16].

Small cargos, such as peptides of fewer than 30–40 amino acids, enter both slowly by endocytosis and rapidly by transduction, an unknown mechanism that uses the membrane potential [16, 19–21]. Peptides fused to TAT enter cells within seconds [22].

Sec. II reviews some facts about plasma membranes. Sec. III reviews how the phospholipid bilayer prevents ions from crossing the plasma membrane. Sec. IV describes a simple model of transduction in which CPPs on the outer leaflet and phosphatidylserines on the inner leaflet form a kind of capacitor with a voltage sufficient to favor the formation of transient pores (electroporation). Sec. V shows that the model is consistent with measurements made by Tünnemann *et al.* [23] on the transduction into mouse myoblasts of oligoarginines and oligolysines carrying fluorophores of 400 Da. Sec. VI tells how to test three predictions of the model. The paper ends with a short summary in Sec.VII.

II. PLASMA MEMBRANES

The plasma membrane of a mammalian cell is a lipid bilayer that is 4 or 5 nm thick. Of the four main phospholipids in it, three—phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylcholine (PC), and sphingomyelin (SM)—are neutral, and one, phosphatidylserine (PS), is negatively charged. In live cells, PE and PS are mostly in the cytosolic layer, and PC and SM in the outer layer [24, 25]. Aminophospholipid translocase (flippase) moves PE and PS to the inner layer; floppase slowly moves all phospholipids to the outer layer [24].

Glycolipids make up about 5% of the lipid molecules of the outer layer of a mammalian plasma membrane. Their hydrocarbon tails normally are saturated. Instead of a modified phosphate group, they are decorated with galactose, glucose, GalNAc = N-acetylgalactosamine, and other sugars. The most complex glycolipids—the gangliosides—have negatively charged sialic-acid (NANA) groups. Incidentally, cholera toxin binds to and enters cells that display the G_{M1} ganglioside. [25]

A living cell maintains an electrostatic potential of between 20 and 120 mV across its plasma membrane. The electric field E within the membrane points into the cell and is huge, about 15 mV/nm or 1.5×10^7 V/m if the potential difference is 60 mV across a membrane of 4 nm. Conventionally, one reports membrane potentials as the electric potential inside the cell minus that outside, so that here $\Delta V = -60$ mV. Near but outside the membrane, this electric field falls-off exponentially $E(r) = E \exp(-r/D_\ell)$ with the ratio of the distance r from the membrane to the Debye length D_ℓ, which is of the order of a nanometer. The rapid entry of TAT fused to peptides is frustrated only by agents that destroy the electric field E [16], which applies a force qE to a CPP of charge q.

Most of the phospholipids of the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane are neutral PCs & SMs. They vastly outnumber the negatively charged gangliosides, which are a subset of the glycolipids, which themselves amount only to 5% of the outer layer. Imagine now that CPP-cargo molecules are in the extra-cellular environment. Many of them will be pinned down by the electric field $E(r)$ just outside the membrane, their positively charged side-chains interacting with the negative phosphate groups of neutral dipolar PC & SM head groups. (Other CPP-cargo molecules will stick to negatively charged gangliosides and to glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) attached to transmembrane proteoglycans (PGs); these slowly will be endocytosed. Heparan-sulfate PGs are needed for TAT-

protein endocytosis [26].) It is crucial that the dipolar PC & SM head groups are neutral and so do not cancel or reduce the positive electric charge of a CPP-cargo molecule. The net positive charge of a CPP-cargo molecule will attract negatively charged PSs and cause them to diffuse toward the part of the inner leaflet directly below the CPP-cargo molecule. This is the starting point for the model described in the next two sections.

III. THE PUZZLE

The dielectric constant $\epsilon_\ell \approx 2$ of the hydrocarbons of a lipid bilayer is much less than that of water $\epsilon_w \approx 80$. Thus, the difference $\Delta E_{w\to\ell}$ in the electrostatic energy of an ion of charge q and effective radius a in the bilayer and in water [27] is

$$\Delta E_{w\to\ell} = \frac{q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 a}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_\ell} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_w}\right) \tag{1}$$

or 3.5 eV if the ion's charge is that of the proton and its radius is $a = 1$ Å. This energy barrier is far larger than the 0.06 eV gained when a unit charge crosses a 60 mV phospholipid bilayer. Thus, an ion will not cross a cell's plasma membrane unless a transporter or a channel facilitates (and regulates) its passage.

In the present model of CPP transduction, the electrostatics of the CPP-cargo complex and the role of PSs on the inner leaflet play key roles.

The electrostatics of a cationic polypeptide such as TAT or polyarginine are more complex than for an ion. I will model the CPP and its cargo in water as a sphere with its positive charges on its surface. The density of a protein of mass M kDa is estimated [28] to be

$$\rho(M) = \left(0.8491 + 0.0873\, e^{-M/13}\right) \quad \text{kDa/nm}^3. \tag{2}$$

A CPP-cargo complex would not be expected to fold as densely as a natural globular protein, and so for it the estimate $\rho(M)$ is more of an upper bound. The radius r of a putative sphere consisting of M kDa of CPP and cargo then would be at least

$$r \gtrsim \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\frac{M}{\rho(M)}\right)^{1/3} \quad \text{nm}. \tag{3}$$

For instance, a CPP of N arginines and a tiny fluorophore cargo of 400 Da has a mass of $M_N = 0.1562N + 0.4$ kDa, and so its radius would satisfy

$$r \gtrsim \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\frac{M_N}{\rho(M_N)}\right)^{1/3} \quad \text{nm} \tag{4}$$

which gives $r = 0.75$ nm for $N = 8$ arginines. The lower bounds on the radii for $N = 5$–12 are listed in column 2 of the Table (I).

For larger cargos of $N_c = 50$–100 amino acids of 130 Da each, the lower bounds on the radii range from 1.25 to 1.59 nm. (In what follows, N_c will represent the number of amino acids in the cargo or the mass of the cargo in Daltons divided by 130 Da.) Adding another 0.8 nm for the PC/SM head groups extends these lower bounds on the radii to 2.05–2.39 nm. The diameters of these spheres would approach or exceed the normal 4.4 nm of the lipid bilayer. The extra energy penalty due to the distortion of the plasma membrane may explain why CPPs can not transduce cargos of more than 50 amino acids [16].

If the CPP-cargo molecule were a charged conducting sphere of radius r and charge q, then its electrostatic energy in water would be

$$E(N, N_c, q, w) = \frac{q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_w r}. \tag{5}$$

This term neglects the short-distance detail of the electric field near the q/e positive unit charges e of the CPP-cargo molecule. So a short-distance correction term

$$E_{sdc}(N, N_c, q, w) = \frac{qe}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_w a} \tag{6}$$

proportional to q must be added to $E(N, N_c, q, w)$. The short distance a is an adjustable parameter, which should turn out to be several Å since the term E_{sdc} is a correction to be added to $E(N, N_c, q, w)$ and not the entire electrostatic energy.

As the CPP-cargo molecule enters the lipid bilayer, the phosphate groups of the PC and SM of the outer leaflet bind to the positively charged guanidinium and amine groups of the CPP. The positive charges of the phosphocholine groups of PC and SM are about $d = 5$ Å from their phosphate groups [29]. The binding of PC and SM therefore approximately increases the effective radius of the charged sphere to $r_m \approx r + d$. The electrostatic energy of this complex in the hydrocarbon tails of the lipid bilayer then is

$$E(N, N_c, q, \ell) \approx \frac{q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_\ell(r+d)} \tag{7}$$

apart from a correction factor

$$E_{sdc}(N, N_c, q, \ell) = \frac{qe}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_\ell a} \tag{8}$$

similar to (6).

Apart from correction terms, the electrostatic energy penalty when the CPP-cargo molecule enters the lipid bilayer from water as a CPP-cargo-PC/SM complex is the difference

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta E^0_{w,\ell}(N, N_c, q) &\approx E(N, N_c, q, \ell) - E(N, N_c, q, w) \\ &\approx \frac{q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_\ell(r+d)}\left(1 - \frac{r+d}{r}\frac{\epsilon_\ell}{\epsilon_w}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Because the thickness $t = 4.4$ nm of the lipid bilayer is only a few times that of the CPP-cargo-PC/SM complex,

we also must include the Parsegian correction [27]

$$\Delta E_P = -\frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_\ell t}\ln\left(\frac{2\epsilon_w}{\epsilon_w+\epsilon_\ell}\right). \qquad (10)$$

The sum of the water-to-lipid energy (9) and Parsegian's correction (10) is

$$\Delta E_{w,\ell}(N, N_c, q) = \Delta E^0_{w,\ell}(N, N_c, q) + \Delta E_P. \qquad (11)$$

The energy $\Delta E_{w,\ell}(N, N_c, q)$ is listed in column 3 of Table (I) for a CPP of $N = 5$–12 arginines towing a fluorophore cargo of 400 Da with $d = 0.5$ nm.

The short-distance correction terms augment this penalty by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta E_{sdc}(N, N_c, q) &= E_{sdc}(N, N_c, q, \ell) - E_{sdc}(N, N_c, q, w)\\ &= \frac{qe}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_\ell a}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon_\ell}{\epsilon_w}\right) \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

and do not require Parsegian's correction because they are short-distance effects. This short-distance correction ΔE_{sdc} is listed in column 4 of Table (I) for a CPP of $N =$ 5–12 arginines and a representative value of $a = 4.5$ Å for the short-distance parameter.

The net electrostatic energy penalty when the CPP-cargo molecule enters the lipid bilayer from water as a CPP-cargo-PC/SM complex is then the sum of (9, 10, & 12)

$$\Delta E_{w\to\ell} = \Delta E^0_{w,\ell} + \Delta E_P + \Delta E_{sdc}. \qquad (13)$$

A CPP of 8 arginines carrying a fluorophore of 400 Da has a radius r of 0.75 nm, and with $a = 4.5$ Å, the change (13) in its electrostatic energy on going from water to lipid is

$$\Delta E_{w\to\ell}(8, 3, 8e) \approx 16.9 \text{ eV}. \qquad (14)$$

This energy barrier is 35 times bigger than the energy 0.48 eV that it gains by crossing a potential difference of 60 mV. So how and why does it cross?

IV. A CPP–PS CAPACITOR AND ELECTROPORATION

My answer lies in the second part of the present model—the phosphatidylserines (PSs). They comprise some 8–18% of the inner leaflet by weight [30]. The PSs diffuse laterally within that leaflet with a diffusion constant $D \approx 10^{-8}$ cm^2/sec [31] and so within one second spread to an area of 12 μm^2, which is a significant fraction of the surface area of a eukaryotic cell. Attracted by the positive charge of two or more CPPs, several PSs cluster in the inner leaflet below the CPPs forming with them a kind of capacitor. In what follows, I will focus on the PSs because they are constrained to lie in the two-dimensional inner leaflet while other anions drift in the three-dimensional cytosol.

TABLE I: The radius r of a CPP-cargo molecule of N arginines and a cargo of 400 Da, its change in electrostatic energy $\Delta E_{w,\ell}$ when transferred from water to hydrocarbon (11), and the short-distance correction ΔE_{SDC} (12). Distances are in nm and energies in electron-Volts.

N	r	$\Delta E_{w,\ell}$	ΔE_{sdc}
5	0.67	4.61	3.90
6	0.70	6.39	4.68
7	0.73	8.41	5.46
8	0.75	10.64	6.24
9	0.78	13.06	7.02
10	0.80	15.68	7.80
11	0.82	18.48	8.58
12	0.84	21.44	9.36

After oligoarginine CPPs are added to the extracellular fluid medium, the arginines of some of them will bind to the phosphate groups of the phosphatidylcholine (PC) and sphingomyelin (SM) phospholipids in the outer leaflet. The electrostatic potential of an isolated CPP may not be strong enough to form a pore because it is reduced at a point $\boldsymbol{r}$ in the lipid by the mean relative permittivity $\bar\epsilon = (\epsilon_w + \epsilon_\ell)/2$ to $V(\boldsymbol{r}) = q/(4\pi\epsilon_0\bar\epsilon r)$ and further reduced by counterions and image charges. But if a second CPP or a few CPPs should land near the first CPP and bind to PCs or SMs, then transduction becomes possible in the present model.

A CPP of N arginines can form an α-helix of length $L \approx 0.16\,N$ nm (or a β-strand of length $0.34\,N$ nm). If two R^N CPPs lie a distance d apart bound to phosphate groups of the outer leaflet, then the charge $2Ne$ of the two CPPs is spread over approximately $L\,d$, giving a surface-charge density of $\sigma = 2\,N\,e/(d\,L)$. The electric field of the two oligoarginines would attract $2N$ PSs to the part of the inner leaflet below the two R^Ns forming a kind of capacitor. If the voltage drop across this capacitor exceeds the threshold for the formation of the pre-pores or pores of electroporation, then the CPP and its cargo may enter the cell. This threshold is between roughly -250 and 550 mV, depending upon the duration of the potential [32].

Due to its bidentate guanidinium group, arginine binds to phosphate groups better than lysine [21, 33]. Thus, oligoarginines would be more likely than oligolysines to bind to the phospholipids of the outer leaflet forming transiently stable capacitors with the PSs of the inner leaflet.

CPPs with $N = 12$ arginines can bind to more than one phosphate group, and so they can bind to more than one phospholipid of the outer leaflet. The number of such binding sites drops with the number of Rs. In the "picket-fence model," transmembrane proteins bind to actin and restrict the motion of outer-leaflet proteins and lipids [34]; so I assume that oligoarginines with $N > 8$ remain approximately stable for a few hundred ms.

To include the effects of entropy and of the geometry of the oligoarginines (R^Ns) and the phosphatidylserines (PSs), I wrote and ran a Monte Carlo program (included as supplementary material). In this code, I assumed that two R^Ns were parallel α-helices a distance d apart bound to phosphate groups of the outer leaflet. The PSs were allowed to move in two dimensions in the inner leaflet at sites $\boldsymbol{r}_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots 2N$. The $2N$ positive charges of the two R^Ns are taken to lie fixed at the points

$$\boldsymbol{r}^{\pm}_{\boldsymbol{jCPP}} = (\pm\, d/2,\ 0.16\,(N/2 - j),\ t) \tag{15}$$

in which the $\pm$-signs label the two R^Ns, and j labels the Rs of the R^Ns ($0 \le j \le N$). The electrostatic energy of the PSs then is approximately

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0\bar{\epsilon}} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{N_{PS}} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{e^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_k|} - \sum_{k=1}^{N_{PS}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{qe}{|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}^{\pm}_{jCPP}|} \right) \tag{16}$$

in which $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the mean permittivity

$$\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}\,(\epsilon_w + \epsilon_\ell)\,. \tag{17}$$

The Monte Carlo code used a simple Metropolis step in which the x-y coordinates of all the PSs were randomly varied, each by less than ± 0.025 nm. The code accepted any change in the positions of the PSs that lowered their energy E as given by (16) and accepted any change that raised their energy by ΔE conditionally with probability

$$P = e^{-\Delta E/(kT)} \tag{18}$$

in which k is Boltzmann's constant and T is 37 Celsius. The simulation took place in a two-dimensional box with side $L = \sqrt{N/0.13}$ nm, and periodic boundary conditions were enforced to keep the number density of PSs constant at 13% within the box.

Each simulation started from a random configuration of PSs on the inner leaflet and ran for at least 10 million Metropolis steps. After at least 2 million steps for thermalization, measurements of the positions of the PSs and of the electrostatic potential were taken every 1000 steps, and the positions of the PSs were recorded every 80,000 steps. The distnace d separating the two CPPs was taken to be $d = 2$, 3, and 4 nm. (The simulations for $d = 3$ used ten times as many steps.)

The voltages were measured at the points $(0, 0, t)$ and $(0, 0, -0.1)$ nm. The offset by 0.1 nm was to avoid the chance arrival of a PS at the point $(0, 0, 0)$. The voltage differences $\Delta V = V(0, 0, -0.1) - V(0, 0, t)$ are displayed in the Table II. At all three separations, CPPs with 9 or more Rs produce voltages that exceed the threshold ($\sim$ 250–$\sim$ 550 mV) for the formation of pre-pores or pores [32]. CPPs with 10 or more Rs may exceed the threshold for irreversible electroporation.

The mean value of the distance of the PSs from the point $(0, 0, 0)$ varied between $\langle r \rangle = 11.8$ nm for two R^5s separated by 2 nm and $\langle r \rangle = 27.5$ nm for two R^{12}s separated by 4 nm.

TABLE II: The voltage differences ΔV (mV) across the plasma membrane due to two R^N oligoarginines on the outer leaflet separated by a distance d nm and a flock of $2N$ phosphatidylserines fluttering below them on the inner leaflet.

N	ΔV $d = 2$ nm	ΔV $d = 3$ nm	ΔV $d = 4$ nm
5	−342	−229	−173
6	−398	−266	−198
7	−449	−300	−223
8	−504	−335	−248
9	−553	−369	−273
10	−601	−401	−297
11	−646	−433	−319
12	−687	−465	−340

In the present model, a CPP-PS capacitor increases the membrane potential sufficiently to cross the threshold for electroporation, at least for R^Ns with enough arginines. In its use of an electric field and of the binding of the CPPs to the phosphate groups of the phospholipids of the outer leaflet, the model has something in common with the adaptive-translocation model of Rothbard, Jessop, and Wender [21]; in its use of neutral dipolar PC & SM head groups it is somewhat similar to the work of Herce and Garcia [35] and of Tang, Waring, and Hong [36]. The key distinctive feature of the present model is the role of PSs in forming with the CPPs a CPP-PS capacitor with a voltage high enough to cause electropration.

V. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

Tünnemann *et al.* [23] measured the ability of the L- and D-isoforms of oligolysine and of oligoarginine to carry fluorophores of ∼400 Da into live cells. They found that oligoarginines transduced the fluorophores much better than oligolysines, that more arginines meant faster transduction, with L-R9 and L-R10 doing better than their shorter counterparts, and that the D-isoforms worked better than the L-isoforms, probably because of their greater resistance to proteases.

The present model is consistent with these experimental facts and explains them as follows: The oligoarginines crossed cell membranes more easily than the oligolysines because they were better able to bind to the phosphate groups of the PCs and SMs in the outer leaflet; the oligolysines were not able to form a stable upper plate of the CPP-PS capacitor. CPPs with more arginines were transduced more rapidly because with more arginines they could bind to more PCs and SMs and because their higher charge led to a higher trans-membrane potential. The D-isoforms worked better than the L-isoforms because the capacitor mechanism is insensitive to the chi-

rality of the amino acids and because proteases were less able to cut them.

This consistency of the capacitor model lends it some plausibility. The simplicity of the model suggests that it may apply to other oligocationic CPPs. But evolution finds what works, not what fits neatly into a model, and so other CPPs with different cargos may enter different cells by different mechanisms.

VI. HOW TO TEST THIS MODEL

One way to test the model would be to compare the rates of polyarginine transduction in wild-type cells and in those that have little or no phosphatidylserine (PS) in their plasma membranes. If PS plays a key role as in the model of this paper, then the transduction of polyarginine fused to a cargo of less than 30 amino acids should be faster in the wild-type cells than in those without PS in their plasma membranes. Mammalian cell lines that are deficient in the synthesis of phosphatidylserine do exist [37–41], but they appear to have normal levels of PS in their plasma membranes [41]—presumably due to a lower rate of PS degradation [42].

Another test would be to construct artificial asymmetric bilayers [43–45] with and without PS on the "cytosolic" side and to compare the rates of CPP-cargo transduction. If the present model is right, then the rate of transduction should be higher through membranes with PS on the cytosolic side than through membranes with no PS or with PS on both sides.

If CPPs do enter cells via molecular electroporation, then it may be possible to observe the formation of the transient pores by detecting changes in the conductance of the membrane [32]. Such measurements would be a third test of the model and would let one determine both whether CPP-transduction is related to the presence of PS on the cytosolic side of the membrane and whether it proceeds via molecular electroporation.

VII. SUMMARY

Cell-penetrating peptides can carry into cells cargos with molecular weights of an order of magnitude greater than the nominal limit 500 of the "rule of 5" [46]. Section IV describes a model in which phosphatidylserines (PSs) play key roles in the transduction of CPP-cargo molecules. In this model, the positively charged CPP on the outer leaflet and the negatively charged PSs on the inner leaflet form a kind of capacitor with a transmembrane potential sufficient for electroporation (-250 to -550 mV). The model is consistent with experimental data [23] on the transduction of the L- and D-isomers of oligoarginine and oligolysine CPPs into mouse myoblasts.

The model predicts that mammalian cells that lack phosphatidylserine in their plasma membranes transduce oligocations poorly, that artificial asymmetric bilayers with PS on the cytosolic side transduce oligocations better than ones without PS, and that transduction is accompanied by brief changes in the conductance of the membrane.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Gisela Tünnemann for sending me some of the data from her group and for helpful e-mail, to John Connor and Karlheinz Hilber for explaining the status of measurements of the membrane potential of mouse myoblast cells, to Paul Robbins for sending me some of his images, and to James Thomas for helpful discussions. Thanks also to H. Berg, S. Bezrukov, H. Bryant, P. Cahill, D. Cromer, T. Hess, D. Lidke, K. Lidke, S. Koch, V. Madhok, A. Parsegian, S. Prasad, B. B. Rivers, and K. Thickman for useful conversations, and to K. Dill, S. Dowdy, S. Henry, K. Hilber, A. Pasquinelli, B. Salzberg, D. Sergatskov, L. Sillerud, A. Strongin, R. Tsien, J. Vance, and A. Ziegler for helpful e-mail.

[1] Green, M., and P. M. Loewenstein, 1988. Autonomous functional domains of chemically synthesized human immunodeficiency virus tat *trans*-activator protein. *Cell* 55(6):1179–1188.

[2] Frankel, A. D., and C. O. Pabo, 1988. Cellular uptake of the tat protein from human immunodeficiency virus. *Cell* 55(6):1189–1193.

[3] Jiang, T., E. S. Olson, Q. T. Nguyen, M. Roy, P. A. Jennings, and R. Y. Tsien, 2004. Tumor imaging by means of proteolytic activation of cell-penetrating peptides. *PNAS* 101(51):17867–17872.

[4] Snyder, E., C. Saenz, C. Denicourt, B. Meade, X. Cui, I. Kaplan, and S. Dowdy, 2005. Enhanced targeting and killing of tumor cells expressing the CXC chemokine receptor 4 by transducible anticancer peptides. *Cancer Res.* 65(23):10646–50.

[5] Willam, C., N. Masson, Y.-M. Tian, S. A. Mahmood, M. I. Wilson, R. Bicknell, K.-U. Eckardt, P. H. Maxwell, P. J. Ratcliffe, and C. W. Pugh, 2002. Peptide blockade of HIFα degradation modulates cellular metabolism and angiogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 99(16):10423–10428.

[6] Chen, Y.-N. P., S. K. Sharma, T. M. Ramsey, L. Jiang, M. S. Martin, K. Baker, P. D. Adams, K. W. Bair, and W. G. Kaelin Jr., 1999. Selective killing of transformed cells by cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase 2 antagonists. *PNAS* 96(8):4325–4329.

[7] Mendoza, N., S. Fong, J. Marsters, H. Koeppen, R. Schwall, and D. Wickramasinghe, 2003. Selective Cyclin-dependent Kinase 2/Cyclin A Antagonists that Differ from ATP Site Inhibitors Block Tumor Growth. *Cancer Res.* 63(5):1020–1024.

[8] Harbour, J. W., L. Worley, D. Ma, and M. Cohen, 2002. Transducible peptide therapy for uveal melanoma and retinoblastoma. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 120(10):1341–1346.

[9] Mai, J. C., Z. Mi, S.-H. Kim, B. Ng, and P. D. Robbins, 2001. A Proapoptotic Peptide for the Treatment of Solid Tumors. *Cancer Res.* 61 (21):7709–7712.

[10] Datta, K., C. Sundberg, S. A. Karumanchi, and D. Mukhopadhyay, 2001. The 104–123 Amino Acid Sequence of the β-domain of von Hippel-Lindau Gene Product Is Sufficient to Inhibit Renal Tumor Growth and Invasion. *Cancer Res.* 61 (5):1768–1775.

[11] Hosotani, R., Y. Miyamoto, K. Fujimoto, R. Doi, A. Otaka, N. Fujii, and M. Imamura, 2002. Trojan p16 Peptide Suppresses Pancreatic Cancer Growth and Prolongs Survival in Mice. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 8(4):1271–1276.

[12] Zhou, J., J. Fan, and J.-T. Hsieh, 2006. Inhibition of Mitogen-Elicited Signal Transduction and Growth in Prostate Cancer with a Small Peptide Derived from the Functional Domain of DOC-2/DAB2 Delivered by a Unique Vehicle. *Cancer Res.* 66(18):8954–8958.

[13] Snyder, E., B. Meade, C. Saenz, and S. Dowdy, 2004. Treatment of Terminal Peritoneal Carcinomatosis by a Transducible p53-Activating Peptide. *PLoS Biology* 2(2):0186–0193.

[14] Haase, H., G. Dobbernack, G. Tünnemann, P. Karczewski, M. C. Cardoso, D. Petzhold, W. P. Schlegel, S. Lutter, P. Pierschalek, J. Behlke, and I. Morano, 2006. Minigenes encoding N-terminal domains of human cardiac myosin light chain-1 improve heart function of transgenic rats. *FASEB J* 20 (7):865–873.

[15] Tünnemann, G., P. Karczewski, H. Haase, M. C. Cardoso, and I. Morano, 2007. Modulation of muscle contraction by a cell-permeable peptide. *J Mol Med* 85(12):14051412.

[16] Tünnemann, G., R. M. Martin, S. Haupt, C. Patsch, F. Edenhofer, and M. C. Cardoso, 2006. Cargo-dependent mode of uptake and bioavailability of TAT-containing proteins and peptides in living cells. *FASEB J* 20 (11):1775–1784.

[17] Wadia, J. S., R. V. Stan, and S. F. Dowdy, 2004. Transducible TAT-HA fusogenic peptide enhances escape of TAT-fusion proteins after lipid raft macropinocytosis. *Nat. Med.* 10(3):310 – 315.

[18] Duchardt, F., M. Fotin-Mleczek, H. Schwarz, R. Fischer, and R. Brock, 2007. A Comprehensive Model for the Cellular Uptake of Cationic Cell-penetrating Peptides. *Traffic* 8(7):848–866.

[19] Prochiantz, A., 2000. Messenger proteins: homeoproteins, TAT and others. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 12(4):400–406.

[20] Dom, G., C. Shaw-Jackson, C. Matis, O. Bouffioux, J. J. Picard, A. Prochiantz, M.-P. Mingeot-Leclercq, R. Brasseur, and R. Rezsohazy, 2003. Cellular uptake of Antennapedia Penetratin peptides is a two-step process in which phase transfer precedes a tryptophan-dependent translocation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31(2):556–561.

[21] Rothbard, J. B., T. C. Jessop, and P. A. Wender, 2005. Adaptive translocation: the role of hydrogen bonding and membrane potential in the uptake of guanidinium-rich transporters into cells. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 57(4):495–504.

[22] Ziegler, A., P. Nervi, M. Dürrenberger, and J. Seelig, 2005. The Cationic Cell-Penetrating Peptide CPP^{TAT} Derived from the HIV-1 Protein TAT Is Rapidly Transported into Living Fibroblasts: Optical, Biophysical, and Metabolic Evidence. *Biochemistry* 44(1):138–148.

[23] Tünnemann, G., G. Ter-Avetisyan, R. M. Martin, M. Martin Stöckl, A. Herrmann, and M. C. Cardoso, 2008. Live-cell analysis of cell penetration ability and toxicity of oligo-arginines. *J. Peptide Science* 14(4):469–76.

[24] Bevers, E., P. Comfurius, D. Dekkers, and R. Zwaal, 1999. Lipid translocation across the plasma membrane of mammalian cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1439(3):317–30.

[25] Alberts, B., A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, 2002. Molecular Biology of the Cell, Garland Science, New York, 587–593. 4 edition.

[26] Tyagi, M., M. Rusnati, M. Presta, and M. Giacca, 2001. Internalization of HIV-1 Tat Requires Cell Surface Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycans. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276(5):3254–3261.

[27] Parsegian, A., 1969. Energy of an Ion crossing a Low Dielectric Membrane: Solutions to Four Relevant Electrostatic Problems. *Nature* 221:844–846.

[28] Fischer, H., I. Polikarpov, and A. F. Craievich, 2004. Average protein density is a molecular-weight-dependent function. *Protein Science* 13(10):2825–2828.

[29] Alberts, B., A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, 2008. Molecular Biology of the Cell, Garland Science, New York, 620. 5th edition.

[30] Alberts, B., A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, 2008. Molecular Biology of the Cell, Garland Science, New York City, 624. 5th edition.

[31] Alberts, B., A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, 2008. Molecular Biology of the Cell, Garland Science, New York, 622. 5th edition.

[32] Melikov, K. C., V. A. Frolov, A. Shcherbakov, A. V. Samsonov, Y. A. Chizmadzhev, and L. V. Chernomordik, 2001. Voltage-Induced Nonconductive Pre-Pores and Metastable Single Pores in Unmodified Planar Lipid Bilayers. *Biophys. J.* 80:1829–1836.

[33] Rothbard, J. B., E. Kreider, C. L. VanDeusen, L. Wright, B. L. Wylie, and P. A. Wender, 2002. Arginine-Rich Molecular Transporters for Drug Delivery: Role of Backbone Spacing in Cellular Uptake. *J. Med. Chem.* 45(17):3612 – 3618.

[34] Andrews, N. L., K. A. Lidke, J. R. Pfeiffer, A. R. Burns, B. S. Wilson, J. M. Oliver, and D. S. Lidke, 2008. Actin restricts FcεRI diffusion and facilitates antigen-induced receptor immobilization. *Nat. Cell Biology* 10(8):955–963.

[35] Herce, H. D., and A. E. Garcia, 2007. Molecular dynamics simulations suggest a mechanism for translocation of the HIV-1 TAT peptide across lipid membranes. *PNAS* 104(52):20805–20810.

[36] Tang, M., A. J. Waring, and M. Hong, 2007. Phosphate-Mediated Arginine Insertion into Lipid Membranes and Pore Formation by a Cationic Membrane Peptide from Solid-State NMR. *JACS* 129(37):11438–11446.

[37] Voelker, D., and J. Frazier, 1986. Isolation and characterization of a Chinese hamster ovary cell line requiring ethanolamine or phosphatidylserine for growth and exhibiting defective phosphatidylserine synthase activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 261(3):1002–1008.

[38] Kuge, O., K. Saito, M. Kojima, Y. Akamatsu, and M. Nishijima, 1996. Post-translational processing of the phosphatidylserine decarboxylase gene product in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Biochem. J.* 319:33–38.

[39] Saito, K., M. Nishijima, and O. Kuge, 1998. Genetic Evidence That Phosphatidylserine Synthase II Catalyzes

the Conversion of Phosphatidylethanolamine to Phosphatidylserine in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells. *J. Bio. Chem.* 273(27):17199–17205.

[40] Stone, S. J., and J. E. Vance, 2000. Phosphatidylserine Synthase-1 and -2 Are Localized to Mitochondria-associated Membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275(44):34534–34540.

[41] Grandmaison, P. A., T. S. Nanowski, and J. E. Vance, 2004. Externalization of phosphatidylserine during apoptosis does not specifically require either isoform of phosphatidylserine synthase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1636(1):1–11.

[42] Vance, J. E., 2008. Private communication.

[43] Hanke, M., and W.-R. Schlue, 1993. Planar Lipid Bilayers. Academic Press.

[44] Rostovtseva, T. K., V. M. Aguilella, I. Vodyanoy, S. M. Bezrukov, and V. A. Parsegian, 1998. Membrane Surface-Charge Titration Probed by Gramicidin A Channel Conductance. *Biophys. J.* 75:1783–1792.

[45] O'Shaughnessy, T. J., J. E. Hu, J. L. Kulp III, S. M. Daly, and F. S. Ligler, 2007. Laser ablation of micropores for formation of artificial planar lipid bilayers. *Biomed Microdevices* 9(6):863868.

[46] Lipinski, C., F. Lombardo, B. Dominy, and P. Feeney, 1997. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 23:3–25.